UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/917,536	07/27/2001	Sean James Martin	GB920010042US1	2124
45541 7590 10/18/2007 HOFFMAN WARNICK & DALESSANDRO LLC 75 STATE ST			EXAMINER	
			BLAIR, DOUGLAS B	
14TH FLOOR ALBANY, NY	12207		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			7			
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- (
	09/917,536	MARTIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Douglas B. Blair	2142				
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION OF THIS COMMUNION OF THIS COMMUNION OF THE THIS COMMUNION OF THE THIS COMMUNION OF THIS COMMU	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>18 July 2007</u> .					
,						
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice of	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims			٠			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objectio		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have beer I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	J . J	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 7/18/2007, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/917,536

Art Unit: 2142

1. Claims 1-15, 19-35, and 39-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 6,606,661 to Agrawal et al. in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,389,028 to Bondarenko et al. and U.S. Patent Number 6,832,255 to Rumsewicz et al.

2. As to claim 1, Agrawal teaches a method for regulating access by users to a scarce resource, said resource being capable of handling multiple concurrent accesses, the method comprising the steps of: receiving a request for access to the scarce resource (col. 3, lines 6-10); determining whether the access level for said scarce resource is at a desired maximum (col. 3, lines 6-10); responsive to determining that said access level is at desired maximum, placing said requester in a queue for access to said scarce resource (col. 3, lines 6-10); and access being available to said requester upon reaching the head of the queue and said access level dropping below said desired maximum (col. 3, lines 11-26); however Agrawal does not explicitly teach providing the requester with a notification that the request has been enqueued or having a user remain enqueued while navigating an application used access the scarce resource away from the scarce resource.

Bondarenko teaches providing a requester with a notification that the request has been enqueued (col. 7, line 20-col. 8, line 11).

Rumsewicz teaches a method of regulating access to a scarce resource comprising having a user remain enqueued while navigating an application used access the scarce resource away from the scarce resource (col. 5, lines 33-45 discussing queueing a request and col. 9, lines 3-11 discuss how admission control is performed with a cookie just like the invention disclosed by the applicant).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Computer Networking art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Agrawal regarding the queueing of a request with the teachings of Bondarenko regarding providing notification to a requester about queue positioning because providing a notification gives a user an idea of when a resource will be available (Bondarenko, col. 3, lines 43-52). It would have been obvious to combine the teachings of the Agrawal-Bondarenko combination regarding a method for queueing requests with the teachings of Rumsewicz regarding the use of a cookie for keeping track of a user because a cookie allows the system to keep track of the different clients that may try to frequently access the system (Rumsewicz, col. 8, lines 20-26).

- 3. As to claim 2, Bondarenko teaches a method of periodically providing a requester with updates on said requester's progress through the queue (col. 9, lines 18-54).
- 4. As to claim 3 and 4, Bondarenko teaches a method of issuing said request with a numbered ticket denoting said requester's position in the queue wherein the number is displayed to the requester (col. 9, lines 18-54).
- 5. As to claim 5, Bondarenko teaches a method of periodically providing the requester with updates on said requester's progress by informing said requester of the ticket number of the last user grated access to said scarce resource (col. 9, lines 18-54).
- 6. As to claim 6, Bondarenko teaches a method of calculating the average time taken to service the holder of each ticket number; and providing said requester with an estimated time to wait based on the calculated average (col. 9, lines 18-54).
- 7. As to claim 7, Bondarenko teaches a method of periodically providing the requester with updates responsive to the requester polling for such updates (col. 7, lines 36-54).

Application/Control Number: 09/917,536

Art Unit: 2142

- 8. As to claim 8, Bondarenko teaches a method of downloading onto a requester's computer an executable program for initiating polling (col. 10, lines 1-32).
- 9. As to claim 9, Bondarenko teaches a method of storing information on said requester's position in the queue and information for the purpose of providing the requester with notifications said positional information being continually updated as said requester progresses through the queue (col. 9, lines 18-54).
- 10. As to claim 10, Bondarenko teaches a method of initiating updates to the requester on said requester's progress through the queue (col. 9, lines 18-54).
- 11. As to claim 11, Bondarenko teaches a method of providing a requester with notification when the access to the scarce resource is available (col. 9, lines 18-54).
- 12. As to claim 12, Bondarenko teaches a method where storing a request is responsive to determining that a requester is within a predetermined threshold of the head of the queue (col. 10, lines 49-65).
- 13. As to claim 13, Rumsewicz teaches a method of providing a requester with an update on the requester's progress through the queue responsive to a requester re-requesting access to a resource (col. 8, lines 1-26).
- 14. As to claim 14, Bondarenko teaches a method wherein watch re-quest presents a ticket number issued to the requester upon being placed in said queue, said method further comprising the step of: using said presented ticket number to determine whether access is available to said requester; and responsive to determining that access is available, granting said access (col. 9, lines 18-54).

- As to claim 15, Bondarenko teaches a method wherein the step of granting access 15. comprises: diverting said requester to a first server hosting said scarce resource (col. 9, lines 18-54).
- 16. As to claim 19, Rumsewicz teaches a method wherein the step of determining whether said access level for said scarce resource is at a desired maximum comprises: tracking the number of users currently accessing the scarce resource; and computing said number with a predetermined maximum value (col. 4, lines 45-col. 5, line 5).
- As to claim 20, Bondarenko teaches a method comprising the steps of: receiving a late 17. request for access to said scarce resource form said requester having missed access when available; determining whether sad scarce resource is able to accommodate access by said late requester; responsive to determining that it is possible to accommodate access, by said requester, granting access to said requester; and responsive to determining that it is not possible to accommodate access by said requester, re-queueing said requester (col. 9, lines 18-54, the claims do not specify how the late request is handled any differently than the normal request).
- Claims 16-18 and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 18. U.S. Patent Number 6,606,661 to Agrawal et al. in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,389,028 to Bondarenko et al. in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,011,537 to Slotznick.
- 19. As to claims 16-18, the Agrawal-Bondarenko combination does not explicitly teach diverting a request to a second server and providing the requester with entertainment while the resource is not available.

Slotznick teaches diverting a request to a second server and providing the requester with entertainment while the resource is not available (col. 24, line 9-49).

Application/Control Number: 09/917,536 Page 7

Art Unit: 2142

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Computer Netowrking art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of the Agrawal-Bondarenko combination regarding queueing requests with the teachings of Slotznick regarding the provision of entertainment to a waiting user because entertainment reduces the perceived wait time (Slotznick, col. 1, line 60-col. 2, line 11).

20. As to claims 21-56, they rejected for the same reasons as claims 1-20.

Response to Arguments

21. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-56 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Recommended Claim Amendments

22. It is recommended that to overcome the prior art of record, that the claims should be amended to specify the difference relationship between a desired maximum and the maximum capacity of the system. Currently there is only one maximum value claimed when conceptually the applicant's invention features two maximums. The novelty of the applicant's invention appears to be how the server is able to different between different requests and performing different actions for the request based on the type of request.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/917,536

Art Unit: 2142

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas B. Blair whose telephone number is (571) 272-3893.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Douglas Blair

ANDREW CALDWELL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

dindrew Coldwell

Page 8